



Review on the state of the art on signed language testing technologies

Tobias Haug & Wolfgang Mann ALTE 2014 Paris April 11th, 2014



Overview

- Introduction
- Test examples using new technologies
- Results of an international survey
- Advantages and disadvanatges of new technologies
- Examples of future scenarios
- Conclusion

Changes in testing

- Paper and pencil vs. computer-based
- Pre-recorded tasks in video format
- Life- vs. pre-recorded instructions
- Computer adaptive tests
- Use of test booklet (with photos) vs. interactive response mechanisms
- 1-2 testers vs. self-administered



Signed language assessment

- Shortage of signed language tests
- State of research of signed languages
- Tests for L1 and L2 learner
- Test formats (e.g., multiple-choice)
- Test delivery
 - >> > New technologies

A look at existing computer- & web-based sign language tests

ALTE, April 10 & 11 2014

Haug & Mann

Computer Test of German Sign Language



(Mann, 2005)

Assessment of SL of the Netherlands



ALTE, April 10 & 11 2014

Haug & Mann

(Hermans et al., 2007)

BSL Nonsense Sign Repetition Test



ALTE, April 10 & 11 2014

Haug & Mann

(Mann et al., 2008)

ASL Phonological Awareness Test



ALTE, April 10 & 11 2014

Haug & Mann

(McQarrie et al., 2012)

BSL Vocabulary Test (BSL-VT)

p11	
1. Look at the picture. Do you know the BSL sign?	2. Select a score and enter the word for the BSL sign in the textbox
	A • B • C • D • word signed enter
P21 1. Look at the BSL sign in the video. Can you think of any other BSL sign which has a similar meaning?	2. Select a score and enter the word(s) for the BSL sign(s) in the textbox A B C C D word signed enter



Online Receptive Skills Tests

- Based on BSL Receptive Skills Test
- Adaptations into ASL, DGS, etc.
- Online for different SL versions of the RST



Online version of receptive skills test

Actions	Lar	nguage	S					
New Language	Id	Name	Description	Created	Status	Actions		
List Participants	2	DGS	Deutsche Gebärdensprache	2012-03-24	active	View	Edit	Delete
New Participant	3	BSL	British Sign Language	2012-03-24	active	View	Edit	Delete
List Skillstests	5	ASL	American Sign Language	2012-11-29	active	View	Edit	Delete
New Skillstest	8	Auslan	Australian Sign Language	2013-01-15	active	View	Edit	Delete
	9	DSGS	Deutschschweizer Gebärdensprache	2013-11-18	active	View	Edit	Delete
	_	1 of 1, sh	owing 5 records out of 5 total, starting on next >>	record 1, ending on	5			

Actions	Add Participant	
List Participants	1. Name of child	
List Schools	First name*	
List Languages		
	Last name*	
	2. School name:*	
	London Test School \$	
	3. Comments:	
	4. Whethere a factored does this shild other 42 Norse alists	
	 What type of school does this child attend? Please click. ○ School for the deaf 	
	OUnit for hearing-impaired	
	O Mainstream Primary	
	Other > please specify:	
	5. What mode(s) of communication are used within the school? Please click any that apply.	
und	British/Irish Sign Language	
	Cued Speech	
naire	Oral	
	Total Communication	
	Sign Supported English	
	Other (please specify):	
	6. Describe the child's exposure to sign language at school. School uses sign language as means of instruction in class.	
	 Communication support provided in the resource unit (if applicable) communication support worker + 	
	8. Communication support provided in the mainstream (if applicable)	
	Other support (please specify):	
	9. Child's home post code	
	10. Child's date of birth: *	

Background questionnaire

Web-based Sign Language Test Portal

- Web-based SL portal to implement different kinds of SL tests
- Saving of resources
- International collaboration
- For practicitoners and researchers

Use of ICT for sign language testing- results from a survey

Survey: Sign Language Testing & Use of ICT

Sample:

- N = 19
- 10 different countries (Australia, Canada, Czech Republic, Ethiopia, France, Germany, Iceland, The Netherlands, UK, USA)

Web-based sign language testing

- Advantages
 - Accessibility
 - Interactiveness
 - Effectiveness in test administration, data storage & analysis, score reporting
 - User-friendly for target group (children)
- Disadvantages
 - Technical issues (e.g., server connectivity, support at test site, fire wall)
 - Ethical issues/data protection

Thinking out of the Box ("wish-list")

- Better technical infrastructures at test sites, e.g. schools
- Automatic recognition of signed answers (lexical level)

•Data base with data across sign languages > platform for research and discussion among researchers and teachers

• Touch-screen technology for comprehension test

(Haug, 2014)

A look into the future of sign language testing..

The future...?!

- Automatic sign language recognition (e.g., via Kinect)
- Automatic sign language generation/ synthesis (avatar)
- Adaptive sign language assessment



Example of Kinect for automatic SL recognition From: Dicta-Sign, 3rd year public report, dictasign.eu

Avatar-animated sign language testing?

From project "Trainslate", University of Zurich

English translation: *Please spread yourselves over the entire platform and move towards the center of the wagon immediatly after boarding.*



http://www.cl.uzh.ch/research/maschinelleuebersetzung/signlang_en.html https://www.uea.ac.uk/computing/people/profile/j-glauert

Conclusion on web-based signed language tests

ALTE, April 10 & 11 2014

Haug & Mann

For users....

- Easy access (self-administrable)
- More suitable for deaf test taker's needs
- High familiarity among young participants with new media
- More engaging (younger test takers)
- Immediate results: score reporting
- Greater freedom (place of testing, access to test results, linked to own language leaning portfolio)

For sign language test developers...

- Greater freedom to manipulate characteristics/features of items and tasks
- Rapid item authoring
- Automated test assembly
- New item type interfaces
- Prompt distribution of score results
- Wider distribution of test materials

Limitations

- Internet security
- Lack of control
- Equality of access (geographical dispersion, age, educational background)
- Unsupervised modes of test administration (reliability/validity)
- Test Security (test itself, test taker's identity, test results)



Contacts

Tobias Haug: tobias.haug@hfh.ch

Wolfgang Mann: Wolfgang.Mann.1@city.ac.uk

Resources

- www.signlang-assessment.info/
- www.signlanguagetest.com
- <u>www.micro-active.com/a-wm/fvencoder/</u> <u>bsl-vt-demos.htm</u>

Thank for your attention

References

Haug, T. (2014). Use of Information and Communication Technologies in Sign Language Test Development - Results of an International Survey. Manuscript submitted for publication.

Haug, T. (2011). Adaptation and Evaluation of a German Sign Language Test - A Computer-Based Receptive Skills Test for Deaf Children Ages 4-8 Years Old. Hamburg: <u>Hamburg University Press</u>.

Herman, R., Holmes, S., & Woll, B. (1999). Assessing BSL Development - Receptive Skills Test. Coleford,

Hermans, D., Knoors, H., & Verhoeven, L. (2007). *An assessment instrument for Sign Language of the Netherlands*. Viataal: Saint-Michielsgestel.

McQuarrie, L., Abbott, M., Spady, S., Baron, T., Lam, E., Lefebvre, N., Lin, E., Schenk, K., & Yong, A. (2012, April). <u>American Sign Language-Phonological Awareness Test: ASL-PAT Web Service</u>. Presentation at the VL2 International ASL Assessment Toolkit Planning Summit, National Science Foundation's (NSF) Science of Learning Center on Visual Language and Visual Learning (VL2), Washington, DC.

UK: The Forest Bookshop.

Mann, W. (2005). *Computer Test for German Sign Language (CTDGS)*. Unpublished Test. San Francisco State University & UC Berkeley.

Mann, W., Marshall, C., & Morgan, G. (2008). *Nonsense Sign Repetition Test for BSL*. Unpublished Test, City University London. Mann, W. (2009). *British Sign Language Vocabulary Test (BSL-VT)*. Unpublished test. City University London.